

**COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW COMMISSION**  
**FINDINGS**  
**April 2001**

**Case No. 01-001**

This case concerns complaints of a) involvement of a supervisor who investigated a complaint that arose out of the actions of officers under his direct command and control, b) Discourteous behavior on the part of an officer, and c) lack of oversight on the part of senior staff along with other violations not mentioned by the complainant.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct/Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
2. Misconduct/Discourtesy – The alleged act did not occur.
3. Misconduct/Improper Procedure – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.
4. Misconduct/Poor Service – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
5. Misconduct/Improper Procedure – The Department member violated a section of the Department Policies, Rules or regulations not originally alleged in the complaint.

**Case No. 01-018**

This case concerns complaints of a) Discourtesy, and b) Improper Procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct/Discourtesy – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.
2. Misconduct/Improper Procedure - The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.

**Case No. 01-022**

This case concerned a complaint of improper procedure.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct/Improper Procedure – The alleged act did occur but was justified, legal and proper.

**Case No. 01-025**

This case concerns complaints of a) Improper Procedure, and b) Discourtesy.

The Commission found the following:

1. Misconduct/Improper Procedure – The alleged act occurred but was justified, legal and proper.
2. Misconduct/Discourtesy – The investigation produced insufficient information to prove or disprove the allegation.